

Defendant Belkin Corporation ("Belkin") answers the Complaint of Plaintiff Netalog, Inc ("Netalog") as follows

Defendant

- 1 Answering Paragraph 1, Belkin is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each of those allegations
- Answering Paragraph 2, Belkin denies that it is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California, but admits that it has a principal place of business in Compton, California Belkin alleges and avers that it is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware
- Answering Paragraph 3, Belkin admits that Netalog has brought a patent infringement action arising under the United States Patent Laws, 35 U S C §§ 271 and 281-285 and that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter under 28 U S C §§ 1331 and 1338(a), but denies Netalog's allegations of patent infringement. Except as expressly admitted above, Belkin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 3
 - 4 Answering Paragraph 4, Belkin admits that it is subject to personal jurisdiction in

RALLIB01 779292 I

the Middle District of North Carolina because it has sold or offered for sale the accused product in this district. Belkin further admits that venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U S C §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). Except as expressly admitted above, Belkin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 4

- Answering Paragraph 5, Belkin admits that United States Patent No 6,591,085 ("the '085 Patent") issued on July 8, 2003, and that it is entitled "FM Transmitter and Power Supply/Charging Assembly for MP3 Player" Belkin further admits that a copy of the '085 Patent is attached to the Complaint as Exhibit A Belkin is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations and, on that basis, denies each of those allegations
 - 6 Belkin denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6
 - 7 Belkin denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7
 - 8 Belkin denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 8
- 9 Belkin denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 9, and specifically denies that Netalog has been, or will be, damaged in any manner or sum, or at all, as a result of any wrongful action on the part of Belkin

As and for its separate defenses, Belkin alleges as follows

(Invalidity)

- Belkin incorporates here the foregoing admissions, denials, and allegations
- The '085 Patent is invalid for failure to meet one or more of the requirements for patentability set forth in 35 U S C §§ 101 et seq

(Laches)

Belkin incorporates here the foregoing admissions, denials, and allegations

RALLIBO1 779292 I

13 F&G is barred by its laches from asserting the '085 Patent against Belkin

(Estoppel)

- Belkin incorporates here the foregoing admissions, denials, and
- F&G is estopped by its conduct from asserting the '085 Patent against

Belkın

allegations

allegations

(Waiver)

- Belkin incorporates here the foregoing admissions, denials, and
- F&G has waived any objection to Belkin's actions as alleged in the Complaint

COUNTERCLAIM

Belkin asserts the following counterclaims against Netalog as follows

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Belkin's First and Second Counterclaims arise under 28 U S C §§ 2201 and 2202, and Belkin seeks declaratory relief as well as further relief based upon a declaratory judgment or decree. In the First Counterclaim, Belkin seeks a judicial declaration that it does not infringe a United States patent. In the Second Counterclaim, Belkin seeks a judicial declaration that a United States patent is invalid. This Court has original jurisdiction over the First and Second Counterclaims under 28 U S C § 1338(a)
- Venue is proper in this district because Netalog has submitted to this Court's jurisdiction by the filing of the Complaint in this action

RAL1 IB01 779292 1

FIRST COUNTERCLAIM

(For Declaratory Judgment of Patent Non-Infringement)

- Belkin incorporates here the foregoing admissions, denials, and allegations
- An actual controversy exists between Belkin and Netalog as to whether Belkin infringes, contributes to the infringement of, or induces infringement of the '085 Patent, as Netalog contends, or does not do so, as Belkin contends
- By this Counterclaim, Belkin seeks a declaration that it has not infringed and does not infringe the '085 Patent either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Further, Belkin seeks a declaration that it has not contributed to or induced and does not contribute to or induce infringement of the '085 Patent by anyone. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time in order that Belkin may ascertain its rights and duties with respect to the '085 Patent and with respect to any past, present, or future manufacture, use, importation, distribution, sale, or offer for sale of its products.

SECOND COUNTERCLAIM

(For Declaratory Judgment of Patent Invalidity)

- 6 Belkin incorporates here the foregoing admissions, denials, and allegations
- An actual controversy exists between Belkin and Netalog to whether the '085 Patent is valid, as Netalog contends, or is invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability set forth in 35 U S C §§ 101 et seq, as Belkin contends
- By this Counterclaim, Belkin seeks a declaration that the '085 Patent is invalid. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time in order that Belkin may ascertain its rights and duties with respect to the '085 Patent and to any past, present, or future manufacture, use, importation, distribution, sale, or offer of its products

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Belkin prays for judgment as follows

RALLIBO1 779292 I

- 1 That Netalog take nothing by its Complaint and that its Complaint be dismissed,
- That the Court determine and declare that Belkin and its products have not infringed and do not infringe the '085 Patent,
- That the Court declare that Belkin has not contributed to or induced and does not contribute to or induce infringement of the '085 Patent by anyone,
 - That the Court declare that the '085 Patent is invalid,
- 5 That the Court award Belkin its attorneys' fees and litigation expenses under 28 U S C § 1927 and 35 U S C § 285 or on any other applicable basis,
 - 6 That the Court award Belkin its costs of suit, and

7 That Belkin receive such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate

Dated September 20, 2005

 $\rightarrow //$

Hayden Joulver III NC Bar No 10037

Respectfully sabmitted

W Swain Wood

NC Bar No 32037

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP

3737 Glenwood Ave

Raleigh, NC 27612

Tel (919) 788-1688

Fax (919) 510-6123

Attorneys for Defendant Belkin Corporation

Of Counsel
David P Enzminger, CA Bar No 137065
Ryan K Yagura, CA Bar No 197619
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899
Tel (213) 430-6000
Fax (213) 430-6407

RALLIBO1 779292 I

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Belkin hereby demands trial by jury of all issues so triable under the law

Dated September 20, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Hayden J Silver N

NC Bar No 10037

W Swain Wood

NC Bar No 32037

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP

3737 Glenwood Ave

Raleigh, NC 27612

Tel (919) 788-1688

Fax (919) 510-6123

Attorneys for Defendant Belkin Corporation

Of Counsel
David P Enzminger, CA Bar No 137065
Ryan K Yagura, CA Bar No 197619
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899
Tel (213) 430-6000
Fax (213) 430-6407

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I served a copy of the ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND

COUNTERCLAIM on the parties listed below by UPS Overnight delivery, this the 20th day of September, 2005

Michael E Ray Christopher G Daniel WOMBLE, CARLYLE, SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC

One West Fourth Street Winston-Salem, NC 27101